

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Jack A. Mandelman et al.  
Title: FIELD-SHIELD-TRENCH  
ISOLATION FOR GIGABIT DRAMS  
Serial No.: 09/245, ~~269~~ 269  
Filed: February 5, 1998

Examiner: DiLinh Nguyen  
Art Unit: 2814

RECEIVED  
MAY - 1 2001  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Assistant Commissioner For Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:


Enclosed is a response to the Office Action dated March 23, 2001, an associate power of attorney, a set of six (6) sheets of drawings containing figures 1-13, a copy of the previously received stamped post card assigning a US serial number, a petition and fee for extension of time to respond, and a postcard.

Pursuant to the enclosed associate power of attorney, please forward all future correspondence to me at the address below.

Respectfully submitted,  
Jack A. Mandelman et al., Applicants

11/13/2001 FJONES1 00000001 192179 09245269  
01 FC:115 110.00 CH

By:

  
Irwin Ostroff  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No.: 26013

April 30, 2001

Irwin Ostroff, Esquire  
OSTROFF & ASSOCIATES  
3 Lackawanna Boulevard  
Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Tel. No.: (908) 464-0248  
Fax. No.: (908) 464-3431

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Jack A. Mandelman et al.

Examiner: DiLinh Nguyen

Title: FIELD-SHIELD-TRENCH  
ISOLATION FOR GIGABIT DRAMS

Art Unit: 2814

Serial No.: 09/245,169

Filed: February 5, 1998

Assistant Commissioner For Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated March 23, 2001, please note the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 remain in the application. Claims 1 and 2 have been elected as is denoted below. Non-elected claims 3-20 remain in the application to allow the filing of divisional applications.

Applicant has attached hereto an associate power of attorney and a Petition and Fee For Extension Of Time Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The Examiner objected to the drawings or lack thereof under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because the drawings fail to show the Detailed Description for Figs. 1-13 as described in the specification. The Examiner alleges that two figures were submitted that are unrelated to the specification and that correction is required.

RECEIVED  
MAY - 1 2001  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800